



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/827,358 04/05/2001		4/05/2001	Vincent Dureau	OPTVP006	OPTVP006 3917	
44015	7590	10/06/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OPTV/MEYERTONS THE CHASE BUILDING			SRIVASTA	VA, VIVEK		
700 LAVACA, SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX 78701			2617			

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/827,358	DUREAU ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Vivek Srivastava	2617
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHI WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju	ılv 2005.	
-		action is non-final.	•
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is
,	closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-43 abd 58-67 is/are pending in the	application.	
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11,26,27,42,43,58-61 and 67 is/are	rejected.	
7)⊠	Claim(s) 12-25,28-41 and 62-66 is/are objected	d to.	
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachmen	• •	_	
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 – 11, 26, 27, 42, 43, 58 – 61 and 67 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Program Guide For Digital Television
ASTC Standard (herein after ASTC).

Regarding claim 1, ASTC discloses a receiver (page 1, paragraph 2) operable to receive a format definition and process data according to the definition, without requiring formatting information in the data (see table 5.2 – page 7). It is noted that the receiver receives a format definition and process data formatted to the definition as required to display an EPG.

Regarding claims 2 – 4, ASTC discloses broadcasting the data, wherein in the receiver is configured to receive the format definition form the broadcast and to receive a broadcast including the format definition (see page 1, paragraph 2, table 5.2 – page 7).

Regarding claims 5 – 6, ASTC discloses receiving a multicast including data and wherein the engine is further configured to receive the format definition from the

Art Unit: 2617

multicast derived from a point-to-multipoint multicast of the EPG data (see page 1 paragraph 1 and 2).

Regarding claims 7 – 11, ASTC discloses wherein the definition includes a description of the syntax and semantics of the format, wherein the semantic description associates at least one identifier with the data, wherein the syntax and semantics are described in a first language and wherein the engine is configured to produce an internal representation of the syntax and semantics (see table 5.2 – page 7, table 5.5 – page 16, table 5.6 – page 18, table 5.7 – page 20).

Regarding claim 26, ATSC discloses the syntax is described in a first language and the semantics are described in a second language (see table 5.2 – page 7, table 5.5 – page 16, table 5.6 – page 18, table 5.7 – page 20). It is noted that the claim does not recite the languages are different, just that there are 2 languages.

Claim 27 is met by the above.

As to claims 42, 43 and 67, ASTC discloses EPG television service related information (see page 1, paragraphs 2 and 3).

Claims 58 - 61 are met by the above.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12 – 25, 28 – 41 and 62 – 66 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2617

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Nuber et al (US 5,844,615) – Digital television data streams

Demos (US 5,852,565) - Layering in advanced television

Walker et al (US 6,160,587) – Digital television signals

The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Certificate of Mailing

Commissioner for Patents

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	
on (Date)	
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	
Signature:	

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/827,358

Art Unit: 2617

Registration Number:
Certificate of Transmission
hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent an Frademark Office, Fax No. () on (Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
Signature:
Registration Number:

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vivek Srivastava whose telephone number is (571) 272-7304. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday from 9 am to 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272 – 7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Vs 9/28/05

> VIVEK SRIVASTAVA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6